Interview Summary	09/922,076	KAGEYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MINH DIEU NGUYEN	2137	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>MINH DIEU NGUYEN</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>David T. Yang</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>04 April 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
reached, or any other comments: The Applicant agrees to amend claims 1, 11 and 12 to better clarify the claim language. The amendments will be reflected in the examiner's amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	ı c u	

Application No.

Applicant(s)